UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/633,365	08/07/2000	Bart Alan Meltzer	OIN 1006-2	3951
22470 HAVNES DEE	7590 09/26/2007 FEL & WOLFELD LLP		EXAMINER	
P O BOX 366 COULTER, KENNETH			CENNETH R	
	BAY, CA 94019		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•		•	2141	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		09/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

·			4
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/633,365	MELTZER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kenneth R. Coulter	2141	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re i. iriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tatute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) $\boxtimes$ Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{0}$	9 July 2007.		
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ <sup>-</sup>	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	owance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits i	s
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>48-75</u> is/are pending in the application	ation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>48-75</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		·	
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the contain. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•		d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received in Ap	pplication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•	eceived in this National Stage	
application from the International Bu	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not r	eceived.	
Attacheronates			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview St	ummary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	) Paper No(s)	)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Int 6)  Other:	formal Patent Application 	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· <del>-</del> .		

Art Unit: 2141

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claims 48 75 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated
   by "Guidelines for using XML for Electronic Data Interchange"; Version 0.02;
   Editor: Martin Bryan, The SGML Centre; September 12, 1997. (hereafter Bryan).
- 2.1 Regarding claim 48, Bryan discloses a method for establishing transactions among trading partners in a network, comprising:

maintaining a registry of machine-readable specifications specifying business services offered by trading partners, the machine-readable specifications including at least one of definitions of, and references to definitions of, services offered (p. 8 – 10 "Repositories"; pp. 3, 4 "Definitions for XML/EDI"););

Art Unit: 2141

and at least one of definitions of, and references to definitions of, documents to be exchanged with such services by trading partners (pp. 3, 4, 8 - 10); and

providing, in response to a request, one or more of the machine-readable specifications from said registry is via a communication network to a requesting node (pp. 3, 4, 8 - 11).

- 2.2 Per claim 49, Bryan teaches that said machine-readable specifications comprises data identifying respective descriptions of sets of storage units and logical structures for the sets of storage units (pp. 5 "pointer").
- 2.3 Regarding claim 50, Bryan discloses that said machine-readable specifications included data adapted for parsing to identify an input document and one or more transactions which accept said input document (pp. 12 "XML parser").
- 2.4 Per claim 51, Bryan teaches that the definitions of the documents to be exchanged comprise respective descriptions of sets of storage units and logical structures for the sets of storage units (pp. 13 14 "Developing DTDs").
- 2.5 Regarding claim 52, Bryan discloses that the machine-readable specifications include documents compliant with a definition of a predefined document including logical structures for storing an identifier of a particular

Art Unit: 2141

transaction, and at least one of definitions and references to definitions of input and output documents for the particular transaction (pp. 13 - 14).

- 2.6 Per claim 53, Bryan teaches that the storage units comprise parsed data (pp. 12 14).
- 2.7 Regarding claim 57, Bryan discloses that the storage units comprise unparsed data (pp. 12 14).
- 2.8 Per claim 58, Bryan teaches associating trading partners with said machine readable specifications (pp. 12 14).
- 2.9 Regarding claims 54 56 and 59 75, the rejection of claims 48 53, 57, and 58 (paragraphs 2.1 2.8 above) under 35 USC 102(b) applies fully. In addition, Bryan discloses:

character data encoding text characters in the one of the input and output documents (pp. 2-3); and

markup data identifying sets of storage units according to the logical structure of the input and output documents (pp. 2 - 3 "XML").

3. Claims 48 – 75 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Olsen et al. (WO 98/33125) (Designates the US; printed in English).

Art Unit: 2141

3.1 Regarding claim 48, Olsen discloses a method for establishing transactions among trading partners in a network, comprising:

maintaining a registry of machine-readable specifications specifying business services offered by trading partners, the machine-readable specifications including at least one of definitions of, and references to definitions of, services offered (Abstract "maintaining shared, automated business processes across distributed organizations"; Figs 1; Fig. 4, items 410, 460, 470; p. 3, line 26 – p. 4, line 1; p. 4, lines 11 – 21; pp. 11 – 12); and at least one of definitions of, and references to definitions of, documents to be exchanged with such services by trading partners (p. 9, lines 3 – 9); and

providing, in response to a request, one or more of the machine-readable specifications from said registry is via a communication network to a requesting node (Abstract; Fig. 1; p. 3, line 26 – p. 4, line 1; p. 4, lines 11 – 21; p. 9, lines 3 – 9).

- 3.2 Per claim 49, Olsen teaches that said machine-readable specifications comprises data identifying respective descriptions of sets of storage units and logical structures for the sets of storage units (Abstract; Figs. 1, 4; p. 3, line 26 p. 4, line 1; p. 4, lines 11 21; p. 9, lines 3 9).
- 3.3 Regarding claim 50, Olsen discloses that said machine-readable specifications included data adapted for parsing to identify an input document

Art Unit: 2141

and one or more transactions which accept said input document (Abstract; Figs. 1, 3, 4; p. 10, line 21 – p. 11, line 16).

- Per claim 51, Olsen teaches that the definitions of the documents to be exchanged comprise respective descriptions of sets of storage units and logical structures for the sets of storage units (Abstract; Figs. 1, 3, 4; p. 10, line 21 p. 11, line 16; p. 9, lines 3 9).
- 3.5 Regarding claim 52, Olsen discloses that the machine-readable specifications include documents compliant with a definition of a predefined document including logical structures for storing an identifier of a particular transaction, and at least one of definitions and references to definitions of input and output documents for the particular transaction (Abstract; Figs. 1, 3, 4; p. 9, lines 3-9).
- 3.6 Per claim 53, Olsen teaches that the storage units comprise parsed data (Abstract; Figs. 1, 3, 4; p. 9, lines 3 9).
- 3.7 Regarding claim 57, Olsen discloses that the storage units comprise unparsed data (Abstract; Figs. 1, 3, 4; p. 9, lines 3 9).

Art Unit: 2141

3.8 Per claim 58, Olsen teaches associating trading partners with said machine readable specifications (Abstract; Fig. 1; p. 3, line 26 - p. 4, line 1; p. 4, lines 11 - 21; p. 9, lines 3 - 9).

3.9 Regarding claims 54 – 56 and 59 - 75, the rejection of claims 48 - 53, 57, and 58 (paragraphs 3.1 - 3.8 above) under 35 USC 102(e) applies fully.

In addition, Olsen discloses:

character data encoding text characters in the one of the input and output documents (p. 9, lines 3 - 9); and

markup data identifying sets of storage units according to the logical structure of the input and output documents (p. 9, lines 3 - 9).

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 7/9/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that "Olsen did not become available to those of skill in the art for reference until after the date of our reduction to practice ..."

Examiner disagrees.

The 131 Affidavit on 9/27/02 (if acceptable) yields a date of 3/11/98.

WO 98/33125 (Designates the US; printed in English) has an effective filing date of at least January 23, 1998.

Art Unit: 2141

Applicant states "Olsen does not teach a service providing, in response to a request, one or more machine-readable specifications from a registry via a communication network to a requesting node."

Examiner disagrees.

Referencing Figure 4 (and col. 8, lines 37 - 61), it is clear that Olsen discloses sharing of information stored among clients 460 and 470, and server 480. Figure 4 "shows the preferred configuration of an example site 102." (col. 8, lines 35 - 36).

The database 410 of Figure 4 is one example of an equivalent to the registry of the present Application.

Applicant states that the "DTD Repository" is not enabled in Bryan.

Examiner disagrees.

There is adequate support for DTDs, Business Objects, and Trading Partner Pages in the specification of Bryan. (pp. 3, 4, 8 - 10).

## Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire

THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is

Art Unit: 2141

date of this final action.

filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

Page 9

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth R. Coulter whose telephone number is 571 272-3879. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F, 7:30 am - 4 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rupal Dharia can be reached on 571 272-3880. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

KENNETH R. COULTER

Art Unit: 2141

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

krc